



**TENDER DOCUMENTS FOR**

**“Supply, Installation,  
Commissioning, Training &  
Acceptance of Battery Emulators“**

**TENDER NO. 439/GARC/EV/2022-  
23/Battery Emulator/23**

**Annexure V Evaluation Parameters**

**Supply, Installation, Commissioning, Training & Acceptance of Battery Emulator**

Global Automotive Research Centre, Plot E1,  
SIPCOT Industrial Growth Centre,  
Oragadam, Mathur Post, Sriperumpthur Taluk, Kanchipuram – 602 105, Tamil Nadu

---

Phone: +91-44-27143500 Email: [rajeswar.tripaty@garc.co.in](mailto:rajeswar.tripaty@garc.co.in),  
Website [www.garc.co.in](http://www.garc.co.in)

I N D E X

|          |                              |          |
|----------|------------------------------|----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>EVALUATION PARAMETERS</b> | <b>2</b> |
| 1.1      | Disqualifying Criteria       | 3        |
| 1.2      | Evaluation Parameters        | 3        |

## 1 EVALUATION PARAMETERS

### 1.1 Disqualifying Criteria

Any Bid failing in demonstrating the following shall be directly rejected:

1. Ability to fulfill the basic scope of each equipment, meaning testing as per Indian and European Standards
2. Capability of the Bidder Company for undertaking the assignment, by
  - Not demonstrating relevant experience for each equipment as per the Company Requirements in this tender
  - Not having the necessary financial strength in order to cope with the order with sufficient guarantees

Additionally, GARC could disqualify any Bid not fulfilling the essential parameters listed in the Technical Conditions of Contract for each equipment, and the supplier fails to justify the proposed solution is equivalent or better than the requested performance.

### 1.2 Evaluation Parameters

- 1.2.1 GARC shall follow the Segregated bid evaluation system (No bearing of technical score in the financial bid evaluation and L-1 in the financial bid shall be deemed as successful bidder) to determine the successful bidder for each equipment. (Bidders may also refer the SCC)
- 1.2.2 The minimum pass marks shall be 80 points (80%) out of 100 points.
- 1.2.3 The Technical Bids shall be awarded points (Tn) based on the following evaluation criteria.

| S. No. | Evaluation Parameter  | Max. Marks | Remarks                                  |
|--------|---|------------|--|
| 1.     | The Bidder's capability in Technical and Financial terms to undertake the assignment                    | 15         | Refer Annexure III, Form d3 and Form d5  |
| 2.     | Bidder's Past Experience  | 10         | Refer Annexure III , Form d3             |
| 3.     | Customer Feedback   | 5          | Refer Annexure III, Form d3              |
| 4.     | The Work Plan, Implementation Layouts, Training Plan and Project Implementation Team                    | 10         | Refer Annexure I and Form d6             |
| 5.     | Technical Specifications offered against the requirements spelt out in Technical Conditions of Contract | 50         | Refer Annexure IV and Form d0, d1 and d2 |
| 6.     | Service and Operation Support, Warranty & Annual Maintenance Contract                                   | 10         | Refer Annexure I and Form d4             |

- 1.2.4 The valid Bids shall be evaluated in detail, with GARC's sub-evaluation parameters, under each main evaluation parameters as given above, to arrive at the technical score for each of the Bidder and the technically qualified bids shall be determined based on the minimum pass marks obtained. Only the technically qualified bids shall be entertained for financial bid evaluation.
- 1.2.5 The Evaluation process is performed in 2 steps. In the first step, the minimum requirements

---

fulfilment for each one of the above chapters is evaluated, the negative deviations identified and analysed, and a base mark is given to each supplier fulfilling the minimum requirements. The requirements corresponding to Essential Parameters not fulfilled by the supplier are deeply analysed together with the explanation attached in Form d1) Proposed Deviations (mandatory in case of non-compliance with Minimum Requirements), and in case the Bidder's proposal fails in demonstrating it fulfills those Essential Parameters or is equivalent to it, his offer could be rejected or the corresponding chapter evaluation could be severely penalised. In the second step, the positive deviations proposed by the suppliers with regards to the minimum requirements are evaluated and the final result is obtained.

- 1.2.6 Both steps are evaluated not only on the specifications stated by the supplier, but on the information attached to his offer that demonstrates his capability for achieving them. Test results (Acceptance Test Reports and Calibration reports) obtained in similar projects with the same equipment, detailed technical explanation, etc. are taken into account in order to evaluate the technical quality of the proposed equipment, and the supplier shall include as much information as possible in order to get the higher possible marks.
- 1.2.7 For the evaluation of the Financial Bids, the eventual Bid prices shall be ascertained after considering all the terms and conditions associated with the Bid price specified in the Financial Bid document. GARC reserves the right to include or exclude any component of the price quoted by the Bidder and / or load the bid price as per its discretion to work out the Bid Price for evaluation.
- 1.2.8 If there is a discrepancy between the sub total/s and the total price that is obtained by multiplying the unit price and quantity/adding the sub total/s, the sub total/s shall prevail and the total price shall be corrected, unless in the opinion of the Employer that there is an obvious misplacement of the decimal point in the sub total price, in which case the total price as quoted shall govern and the sub total/s shall be corrected;
- 1.2.9 If there is an error in a total, corresponding to the addition or subtraction of sub totals, the subtotals shall prevail and the total shall be corrected; and
- 1.2.10 If there is a discrepancy between words and figures, the amount in words shall prevail, unless the amount expressed in words is related to an arithmetic error.
- 1.2.11 The amount stated in the Financial Proposal Submission Forms will be adjusted by the Employer in accordance with the above procedure for the correction of errors and, shall be considered as binding upon the bidder. If the bidder does not accept the corrected amount of bid, its bid will be rejected, and the EMD shall be forfeited as per clause 5.5.3 as per tender documents.
- 1.2.12 In addition the revised bid amount after arithmetic correction as arrived above for the bidder / bidders would be informed to all the technically qualified bidders within reasonable time.
- 1.2.13 If a discount is offered in a financial proposal, such discount will be applied prorate basis against each item of the financial form except the price part/s not considered for the " Total price for the package".
- 1.2.14 The bidder with lowest price bid shall be deemed as the successful bidder.
- 1.2.15 However GARC does not undertake to accept the lowest or indeed any bid, in all the above cases as mentioned in the clause 6 of the disclaimer.